



|                    |             |                       |                     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|

10/687,174

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| EXAMINER |              |
| J. Chen  |              |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |

1641

DATE MAILED: 8/16/06

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Examiner Jack Chen (3) Dr. Wong Dr. Shirley Chen
- (2) SpE Long Le (4) Mr. Norviel

Date of Interview 8/16/2006Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: Color slides of digital antibodies.Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: claimIdentification of prior art discussed: Kauvan et al (US 5384263)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dr. Wong illustrated the instant invention, and distinguished from Kauvan et al reference. Dr. Wong pointed out the instant invention with a particular feature of a plurality of antibodies <sup>with antibody</sup> bound specifically to a different epitope consisting of 3 or 4 amino acid which is not disclosed or suggested in Kauvan et al. Examiner acknowledges the illustration and would further search <sup>ant it is facilitating</sup> prosecution.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.